## DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOH OFFICE OF ADJUDICATION AND HEARINGS

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH Petitioner,

v.

MARY A. ROSEBY

Respondent

Case Nos.: I-00-20202 I-02-72012

## FINAL ORDER

On June 21, 2002, I issued an order requiring the Government to file evidence negating Respondent's claim that she did not own the property at issue in this matter (3902 Kansas Avenue, N.W.) on February 7, 2002 and, therefore, is not liable for violating 21 DCMR 708.4 as alleged in the Notices of Infraction, or for any statutory penalty for failing to file a timely answer. The Government filed a timely response and does not contest Respondent's claim that she sold the property on January 18, 2002, and is not liable for the violation charged in the Notices of Infraction. Nor does it argue that Respondent should be liable for any statutory penalty. The Government requests, however, that the new owner of the property be substituted as the respondent and that it be permitted to serve the Notice of Infraction upon the new owner at the property address.

Rather than substituting the new owner into a pending case, without notice to him or his consent to that procedure, I will dismiss the case against Respondent, while permitting the Government to issue a new Notice of Infraction to the new owner. This will afford Respondent a final adjudication of the claim against her, as required by D.C. Official Code § 2-1802.02(c).

Case Nos.: I-00-20202

I-02-72012

This result will not prejudice either the Government's right to pursue the person it now believes

to be the proper party or the new owner's right to service of a Notice of Infraction containing the

legally required instructions on how to answer and informing him of the statutory time limits for

the filing of that answer. D.C. Official Code § 2-1802.01(b). See DOH v. Federal National

Mortgage Ass'n, OAH No. I-00-20295 (Final Order, May 15, 2002) (Service of a new Notice of

Infraction is necessary if service of a previous notice was defective.)

Accordingly, it is, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2002:

**ORDERED**, that the Notices of Infraction against Respondent Mary A. Roseby are

**DIMSISSED WITH PREJUDICE**; and it is further

**ORDERED**, that this Order is without prejudice to the Government's right to issue a new

Notice of Infraction for the charges at issue in this case to Scott C. Redmond, whom the

Government alleges was the owner of the premises at 3902 Kansas Avenue, N.W., on February

7, 2002.

FILED 07/30/02

John P. Dean

Administrative Judge